

FALLS IN COMMUNITY-DWELLING WOMEN WITH BIPOLAR DISORDER

Stuart AL¹, Pasco JA^{1,2,3}, Berk M^{1,2,4,5}, Cowdery SP¹, Chandrasekaran V¹, Williams LJ¹.

¹Deakin University, IMPACT SRC, Geelong, Australia; ²University Hospital, Geelong, Australia; ³Department of Medicine-Western Health, The University of Melbourne, St Albans, Australia; ⁴Florey Institute of Neuroscience and Mental Health, Parkville, Australia; ⁵Orygen the National Centre of Excellence in Youth Mental Health, Parkville, Australia;

BACKGROUND & AIM

Psychotropic medications commonly used in the treatment of bipolar disorder and comorbid conditions, in particular sedatives/hypnotics, antidepressants and both short- and long-acting benzodiazepines, have been associated with increased falls in the elderly¹.

Falls are common among those diagnosed with bipolar disorder in both inpatient² and clinical settings³, however research regarding falls risk among women with bipolar disorder outside an inpatient setting is sparse. Thus, we aimed to investigate falls in a community-dwelling sample of women with bipolar disorder.

METHODS

Women with a history of bipolar disorder (n=123) were recruited and non-bipolar disorder controls were drawn from the Geelong Osteoporosis Study (n=779). Lifetime history of mood disorder was identified using a semi-structured clinical interview (SCID-I/NP).

A fall was defined as "when you suddenly find yourself on the ground, without intending to get there, after you were in either a lying, sitting or standing position"⁴. Participants were classified as fallers if they had fallen to the ground at least twice during the previous 12-months.

Medication use (antidepressants, benzodiazepines, sedatives/hypnotics), alcohol consumption, mobility, health status and walking aid use were self-reported. Anthropometry, blood pressure and timed up-and-go (TUG) were measured, and area-based socioeconomic status determined.

Odds ratios (OR, with 95% confidence intervals, CI) were determined using logistic regression to determine the likelihood of falling among those with bipolar disorder compared to controls.

The study was approved by Barwon Health Human Research Ethics Committee.

RESULTS

Among the sample of 902 women, 268 (29.7%) reported a fall during the previous 12 months, and 70 (7.8%) were classified as fallers (two or more falls). Fallers and non-fallers differed in weight, the TUG, antidepressant use, mobility, health status and history of bipolar disorder, otherwise the groups were similar (Table 1).

Bipolar disorder cases had an increased odds of falling compared to controls [OR 3.3, (95%CI 1.9-5.7), p<0.001]. This relationship was attenuated following adjustment for mobility and antidepressant use, however, the odds of falling remained two-fold greater for bipolar disorder cases compared to controls [OR 2.1, (1.2-3.9), p=0.019]. No other confounders were identified.

Post hoc analyses revealed a greater risk of falling among women with bipolar disorder compared to controls when those with a history of other mood disorders were removed [OR 5.2 95%CI (2.7, 9.9), p<0.001]. These results also were attenuated following adjustment for mobility and antidepressant use [OR 3.2, 95%CI (1.5, 6.7), p=0.002].

Table 1- Participant characteristics for the total group, non-fallers and fallers (2 or more falls). Results are presented as median (IQR), mean (std) or n (%).

| | Total group n=902 | Non-fallers n=832 | Fallers n=70 | P value |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|---------|
| Age (yr) | 55.5 (41.6-68.2) | 55.3 (22.5-68.0) | 56.8 (45.5-70.0) | 0.507 |
| Weight (kg) | 72.1 (62.7-84.7) | 71.9 (62.4-84.2) | 76.6 (66.1-90.7) | 0.046 |
| Diastolic BP (mmHg) | 76.9 (10.6) | 76.7 (10.7) | 78.7 (10.5) | 0.141 |
| Systolic BP (mmHg) | 131 (17.9) | 131.6 (17.8) | 135.6 (18.9) | 0.092 |
| TUG > 10 seconds | 131 (15.7%) | 115 (14.9%) | 16 (25.0%) | 0.033 |
| Walking aid (current) | 57 (6.4%) | 49 (5.9%) | 8 (11.6%) | 0.063 |
| Health status | | | | |
| Excellent | 495 (55.2%) | 465 (56.2%) | 40 (43.5%) | |
| Good | 308 (34.3%) | 283 (34.2%) | 25 (36.2%) | 0.012 |
| Poor | 94 (10.5%) | 80 (9.7%) | 14 (20.3%) | |
| Mobility (current) | | | | |
| Active | 639 (71.9%) | 599 (73.0%) | 40 (58.8%) | |
| Sedentary | 176 (19.8%) | 162 (19.7%) | 14 (20.6%) | 0.001 |
| Limited | 74 (8.3%) | 60 (7.3%) | 14 (20.6%) | |
| Alcohol consumption | | | | |
| Never | 225 (25.3%) | 204 (24.9%) | 21 (30.0%) | |
| Less than once a week | 268 (30.2%) | 254 (31.0%) | 14 (20.0%) | |
| Once or twice a week | 190 (21.4%) | 176 (21.5%) | 14 (20.0%) | 0.147 |
| Several times per week | 124 (14.0) | 114 (13.9%) | 10 (14.3%) | |
| Every day | 82 (9.2%) | 71 (8.7%) | 11 (15.7%) | |
| Socio-economic status | | | | |
| Quintile 1 (most disadvantaged) | 135 (15.4%) | 127 (15.6%) | 8 (12.7%) | |
| Quintile 2 | 101 (11.5%) | 91 (11.2%) | 10 (15.9%) | |
| Quintile 3 | 336 (38.4%) | 312 (38.4%) | 24 (38.1%) | 0.596 |
| Quintile 4 | 165 (18.9%) | 151 (18.6%) | 14 (22.2%) | |
| Quintile 5 | 138 (15.8%) | 131 (16.1%) | 7 (11.1%) | |
| Medication use (current) | | | | |
| Benzodiazepine use | 85 (9.4%) | 75 (9.0%) | 10 (14.3%) | 0.147 |
| Antidepressant use | 184 (20.4%) | 149 (17.9%) | 35 (50.0%) | <0.001 |
| Sedative/hypnotic use | 31 (3.4%) | 27 (3.3%) | 4 (5.7%) | 0.276 |
| History of mood disorders | | | | |
| Bipolar disorder | 123 (13.6%) | 101 (12.1%) | 22 (31.4%) | |
| Other mood disorder | 282 (31.3%) | 254 (30.5%) | 28 (40.0%) | <0.001 |
| No history of mood disorder | 497 (55.1%) | 477 (57.3%) | 20 (28.6%) | |

Missing values- weight n=32, blood pressure n=37, mobility n=13, walking aid n=5, health status n=5, socio-economic status n=27, alcohol use n=13, TUG n=68.

DISCUSSION

Falls risk was greater among women with a history of bipolar disorder compared to non-bipolar disorder controls.

Underlying mechanisms, including confidence, and gait disturbances, contributing to the increased risk of falls among those with bipolar disorder need to be investigated.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

These studies were funded by the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC), of Australia.

The authors would like to thank the participants involved in this study.

REFERENCES

- 1Woolcott JC, et al. Arch Intern Med. 2009; 169 (21): 1952-60
- 2Lavsa SM, et al. Am J Health Syst Pharm. 2010; 67 (15): 1274-80
- 3Hendrie HC, et al. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2013; 21 (12): 1267-76
- 4Cwikel JG, et al. Disabil Rehabil. 1998; 20 (5): 161-7.